| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK |
| 3 | X |
| 4 | KELLEY AMADEI, |
| 5 | : 17-CV-05967 (NGG) Plaintiff, : |
| 6 | v. : 225 Cadman Plaza East |
| 7 | DUKE, et al., Brooklyn, New York : |
| 8 | : January 25, 2018 Defendants. : |
| 9 | a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a |
| 10 | TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR INITIAL CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE VERA M. SCANLON |
| 11 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 12 | APPEARANCES: |
| 13 | For the Plaintiffs: JOSHUA PICKER, ESQ. NEIL ROMAN, ESQ. |
| 14 | Covington & Burling, LLP 620 Eighth Avenue |
| 15 | New York, New York 10018 |
| 16 | CECILLIA WANG, ESQ. HUGH HANDEYSIDE, ESQ. |
| 17 | ACLU Immigrant's Rights Project Senior Staff Counsel |
| 18 | 125 Broad Street 18th Floor |
| 19 | New York, New York 10004 |
| 20 | For the Defendants: DARA OLDS, ESQ. United States Attorney's Office |
| 21 | Eastern District of New York 271 Cadman Plaza East |
| 22 | Brooklyn, New York 11201 |
| 23 | Court Transcriber: RUTH ANN HAGER, C.E.T.**D-641 TypeWrite Word Processing Service |
| 24 | 211 North Milton Road Saratoga Springs, New York 12866 |
| 25 | paracoda phrimde, mem tork 17000 |
| | |
| | Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service. |

11. 1 on the merits. THE COURT: All right. 2 MS. OLDS: I think those two things weigh in our 3 favor as far as discovery being stayed until the motion is 4 free to decide it. 5 6 THE COURT: All right. So I'm not going to stay I don't see it as being burdensome to the 7 discovery. If it turns out once you've had a substantive 8 Government. discussion about what's needed maybe you can have a schedule 9 that stages this so that you speak about -- you deal with the 10 11 questions related to the incident and work your way into this question of whether there is a policy, wasn't a policy, 12 13 whatever it is. 14 But it doesn't seem like a particularly difficult case. And I agree with the plaintiff's counsel that memories 15 16 fade and that seems like a good reason to move ahead, at least 17 on the particular factual questions with regard to this -- the plaintiffs' experiences. 18 If it becomes too difficult, then you can raise that 19 issue again. You can raise this with the district judge when 20 he considers the pre-motion conference letters and, you know, 21 what -- if -- what the briefing schedules should be and what 22 the issue are, but it seems to me this should go ahead. 23 So what about the point that I asked plaintiffs' 24

counsel about, which is they propose a schedule that's tied

25